



México

Misión Permanente de México
ante la Organización de las
Naciones Unidas

STATEMENT OF THE MEXICAN DELEGATION AT THE CLOSING PLENARY OF THE 11TH REVIEW CONFERENCE OF THE NUCLEAR NON-PROLIFERATION TREATY (NPT)

New York, 22 May 2026

Mr. President:

We align with the statement delivered by the New Agenda Coalition and we make this intervention in our national capacity.

We wish to place on record our appreciation for your work as President of the Review Conference and also of your team. My delegation values the way in which you guided our proceedings. We also understand your intentions and appreciate your efforts to achieve an elusive consensus.

We regret that the Conference was unable to reach an agreement. Regardless of this outcome, my delegation must express its concern regarding trends that run counter to effective multilateralism. This is reflected in the conduct of delegations that increasingly shy away from negotiation and diplomacy.

Consensus cannot be achieved through formulas that seek to eliminate language without taking into account the positions of all parties—nor can it be built upon previously agreed-upon foundations if it relies instead on setbacks, reinterpretations, or alterations to fundamental principles and values. Furthermore—while we acknowledge, once again, that you, Mr. President, kept your word by providing us with a text early on for our consideration—delegations did not, strictly speaking, engage in negotiations. There was no genuine search for common solutions based on a negotiating package that integrated the priorities of all States in a balanced manner.

There is an urgent need to advance the implementation of Article VI in the face of an unacceptable status quo—one in which nuclear-weapon States and their allies reinforce the notion of the importance of nuclear weapons (as noted by



México

Misión Permanente de México
ante la Organización de las
Naciones Unidas

the NAC and the vast majority of delegations at this Conference), while final documents fail to adopt concrete measures to reverse this trend.

We regret that no willingness was shown to adopt new disarmament measures, or even to reaffirm compliance with past commitments, but rather merely a promise to initiate dialogues among nuclear-weapon States, lacking any clear disarmament objectives. My delegation will always welcome calls for dialogue, as well as efforts to enhance trust and predictability among nuclear powers; however, such steps do not constitute actual disarmament measures and may even serve to idealize a supposed stability predicated on nuclear weapons, a notion that ultimately erodes the NPT itself.

We find it disconcerting that several parties insist on denying the grave humanitarian consequences of nuclear weapons, preferring instead to speak solely of nuclear war. Mexico feels compelled to express its unequivocal rejection of any attempt to trivialize the use of nuclear weapons, and to point out—as many times as necessary—that we cannot support calls to downplay the significance of nuclear detonations, whether intentional or accidental. The historical record regarding the catastrophic humanitarian consequences of nuclear weapons stems from the use of just two such devices, as well as from thousands of nuclear tests, not from a nuclear war.

We also note with concern the requests to remove language regarding the norm against nuclear testing and on the entry into force of the CTBT. If we truly desire a world free of nuclear weapons, we must ensure that there is no resumption whatsoever of nuclear testing.

There was also a chance to bring the NPT up to date with the realities of the 21st century and address the possible risks of the integration of artificial intelligence in command and control of nuclear weapons. A vast majority was insistent on this.



México

Misión Permanente de México
ante la Organización de las
Naciones Unidas

Mr. President:

Since the very first Preparatory Committee of this review cycle, there has been a clear interest in incorporating interactive sessions regarding the reports submitted by nuclear-weapon States, serving as a confidence-building measure and a means to strengthen the accountability of those States. We regret that this initiative has given rise to politicization and mutual mistrust. We hope that these doubts will be dispelled, thereby enabling us to eventually analyze the reports of the nuclear weapon States within the formal proceedings of the review conferences.

Finally, my delegation wishes to emphasize that the continued validity of the obligations enshrined in the NPT—as well as the various agreements stemming from its Review Conferences—does not depend on whether or not a final consensus document is adopted at any given Review Conference. We, the Parties to the NPT, particularly the non-nuclear-weapon States, fulfill our obligations and commitments, and we will continue to do so. We demand — and will continue to demand— that the nuclear-weapon States demonstrate the same level of compliance when it comes to disarmament.

In the upcoming review cycle we need more diplomacy, more negotiations, more political will.

Thank you.

ooOoo