

## **Introduction**

USPID (*Unione degli Scienziati Per Il Disarmo, Union of Scientists for Disarmament*) is an association of concerned scientists – founded in 1983 and based in Italy – which promotes arms control and disarmament initiatives based on scientific understanding of risks posed by military applications of science and technology. USPID submits to the United Nations Secretary-General its views on “Artificial intelligence in the military domain, with specific focus on areas other than lethal autonomous weapons systems, and its implications for international peace and security”, in accordance with the invitation formulated in operative paragraphs 7 and 8 of Resolution 79/239 adopted by the UN General Assembly on 24 December 2024.

## **Hazards for peace and security arising from AI military applications**

USPID expresses its deep concern about new hazards for peace, international security, and the respect of International Humanitarian Law (IHL) which arise on account of the ongoing and accelerating military efforts to incorporate Artificial Intelligence (AI) into multiple facets of warfare. Major sources of these hazards have been identified in current limitations of our capability to understand, predict precisely, and control the behavior of AI systems developed by machine learning methods and their interactions with other human or artificial agents. Initially identified in connection with the operation of AI-enabled Autonomous Weapons Systems (AWS), these hazards are now spreading to AI systems supporting intelligence collection, the achievement of situational awareness, and human decision-making in warfare.

Exceptionally grave concerns are raised by proposals to integrate AI in Nuclear Command, Control, and Communication (NC3) and in adjacent systems supporting nuclear decisions, and to let AI perform tasks that might directly or indirectly affect nuclear decision-making. A significant case in point is the proposal to use AI technologies in nuclear early warning and decision-support systems, which is being advanced with the expectation that AI accuracy will reduce potential errors, and its processing speed will buy more time for nuclear decision-makers. However, on account of the probabilistic nature of AI information processing, one cannot exclude the risk of AI perception leading to false positives of a nuclear attack or producing perniciously unreliable recommendations given the impossibility of ensuring that the underlying models are aligned with human values and the UN overarching goal of preventing and removing threats to peace. If such mistakes occur, no matter how infrequent, large-scale and even existential implications for humanity might ensue. Accordingly, it would be imperative to proceed with time-consuming verifications of AI responses in nuclear early warning. But these verifications would be hindered by the black-box nature of much AI information processing and by the reliance on mostly simulated data, eventually thwarting the expectation of buying more time for human decision-makers.

Additional concerns are raised by proposals to exploit the rapid pace at which AI operates to speed up battlefield decision-making and targeting cycles. These proposals are fueled by the goal of gaining military advantage over potential adversaries. However, fighting at machine speed jeopardizes both the effectiveness of human oversight on AI-enabled decision support systems and the fulfilment of ethical and legal roles that are attached to human oversight of warfare action. Indeed, overly tight temporal windows for decision-making hinder effective human control over IHL threats raised by machine suggestions. Human interventions which aim at preventing inadvertent conflict escalations prompted by fighting at machine speed are similarly hampered. In addition to this, excessive speed in human-machine interactions has



# USPID

## Unione degli Scienziati Per Il Disarmo

[www.uspid.org](http://www.uspid.org)

Sede Legale: Pisa - C.F. 93006920503

Segretario Nazionale: Prof. Francesco Forti

Tel.: 050 2214341 - Email: [segreteria.nazionale@uspid.org](mailto:segreteria.nazionale@uspid.org)

been identified as a factor inducing automation biases on the battlefield, and potentially skewing human decision-making even in the absence of AI failures.

Further hazards arise in connection with inherent vulnerabilities of AI learning methods and systems. Malicious manipulation of input data might be exploited to induce classification mistakes by AI systems. Moreover, poisoning attacks corrupting learning datasets may impair learning processes and the accuracy of resulting AI systems. These risks are compounded by our current inability to fully align AI systems with human goals and values, potentially causing them to deviate from strategic objectives.

### **Recommended actions**

Mindful of these and other emerging hazards posed by the rapid adoption of AI technologies and systems in the military domain, USPID recommends

- to integrate discussion of AI in NC3 into the Non-Proliferation Treaty framework and in dedicated high-level dialogues and forums such as the Summit on Responsible Artificial Intelligence in the Military Domain (REAM);
- to develop sustained international dialogue, good practices, and confidence-building measures concerning new and emerging risks for peace and IHL respect raised by AI warfare applications;
- to support a comprehensive and detailed inquiry aimed at identifying actual and potential AI applications in the military domain, jointly with situations of use that pose serious threats to peace, international stability, and the respect of IHL;
- to consider and investigate the need to introduce international regulations or prohibitions for those AI military applications that pose serious threats to peace, international stability, and the respect of IHL.